

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/051,415 | 01/18/2002 | Santosh C. Lolayekar | MARA-01001US0 SBS | 7003 |
| 48789 | 7590 11/01/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| LAW OFFICES OF BARRY N. YOUNG | | | WALSH, JOHN B | |
| 260 SHERIDAN AVENUE SUITE 410 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| PALO ALTO, CA 94306-2047 | | | 2151 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/051,415 | LOLAYEKAR ET AL | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | , | |
| John B. Walsh | 2151 | | |

| | · | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC |) personnel): | | | |
| (1) <u>John B. Walsh</u> . | (3) | | | |
| (2) Barry Young. | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>04 October 2006</u> . | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant | 2) applicant's representative] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-36 and 49</u> . | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Latif et al. '730. | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. | g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative discussed the differences between the present invention and the prior art of record. One of these differences included that Latif translated protocols by using an intermediate protocol and his invention did not accomplish this without buffering the packet or occur at wire speed. The examiner indicated this initial discussion to be persuasive and suggested the applicant submit a response in writing to the final rejection indicating these particular differences. The examiner would review them in more detail at that time and the prior art rejection of Latif et al. '730 would most likely be withdrawn.